SUPERIOR COURT YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA 2012 MAR -9 PM 12: 56 1 FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI SANDRAK MARKHAM. CLERK Jacoueline Harshman 3 STATE OF ARIZONA, 4 5 Plaintiff, 6 Case No. V1300CR201080049 vs. 7 JAMES ARTHUR RAY, Defendant. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 15 BEFORE THE HONORABLE WARREN R. DARROW 16 TELEPHONIC PRETRIAL CONFERENCE 17 OCTOBER 4, 2010 Camp Verde, Arizona 18 19 20 21 22 **ORIGINAL** 23 24 REPORTED BY MINA G. HUNT 25 AZ CR NO. 50619 CA CSR NO. 8335

1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
2	For the Plaintiff:
3	YAVAPAI COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE BY: SHEILA SULLIVAN POLK, ATTORNEY
4	BY: BILL R. HUGHES, ATTORNEY 255 East Gurley
5	Prescott, Arizona 86301-3868 (Appearances by telephone.)
6	(iippoulumous sy colopiious)
7	For the Defendant:
8	THOMAS K. KELLY, PC BY: THOMAS K. KELLY, ATTORNEY
9	425 East Gurley Prescott, Arizona 86301-0001
10	(Appearance by telephone.)
11	MUNGER TOLLES & OLSON, LLP BY: LUIS LI, ATTORNEY
12	BY: TRUC DO, ATTORNEY 355 South Grand Avenue
13	Thirty-fifth Floor Los Angeles, California 90071-1560
14	(Appearances by telephone.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Proceedings had before the Honorable WARREN R. DARROW, Judge, taken on Monday, October 4, 2010, at Yavapai County Superior Court, Division Pro Tem B, 2840 North Commonwealth Drive, Camp Verde, Arizona, before Mina G. Hunt, Certified Reporter within and for the State of Arizona. 

## PROCEEDINGS

2.1

THE COURT: I'm going to call the case -- we're in open court. There is the another matter I interrupted. That's fine. We have this set for 3:00 o'clock. I'll resume that other matter in a moment.

Right now this is V1300CR201080049, State versus James Arthur Ray. I have the telephonic appearances. Really the purpose of today's conference or hearing is to get a trial date set. That's my understanding anyway.

Was there anything else that needed to be discussed today?

MS. DO: Your Honor, we'd also like to schedule the hearing regarding the monetary sanctions in connection with the motion to compel.

THE COURT: I'm going to hold off in setting anything just because the other matter I am conducting over in Prescott. I just am not able to set things right now. I can't say anything more than that in terms of timing. Because I'm not sure of the timing of things. I understand you're making a request now. That's something I'm not going to be able to set today.

MS. DO: All right, Your Honor.

MS. POLK: This is Sheila Polk. Does the 1 defendant waive his presence for this hearing? 2 THE COURT: Ms. Do or Tom Kelly. What about 3 Mr. Ray's appearance? 5 MS. DO: Yes. THE COURT: Ms. Do is saying yes. It's 6 7 After I set the date, I'm going to ask that there be an affidavit from Mr. Ray acknowledging the 8 9 trial time and also really the matters that are 10 covered in Rule 9.1. 11 MR. KELLY: Judge, we will take care of that. 12 This is Tom Kelly. 13 THE COURT: I know I've already made the 14 advisement consistent with Rule 9.1. What needs to 15 be verified now is actual notice of the trial date. 16 Why don't I go ahead and address that. Let me ask 17 the parties again the number of trial days that are 18 requested. 19 Ms. Polk? 20 Judge, can I have a moment, please? 21 THE COURT: Yes. 22 MS. POLK: Judge, at this point our best quess 23 is 60 trial days. 2.4 THE COURT: I think we had 56 days. 25 Ms. Do, Mr. Kelly?

MR. KELLY: Judge I'm going to defer to Ms. Do.

MS. DO: Our estimate of the defense case is

two weeks.

THE COURT: Okay.

And, Ms. Polk, were you talking about just the state's case, and then I suppose adding some time for rebuttal, or the 60 days was the estimate of just the state's case?

MS. POLK: Judge, yes. We're trying to anticipate cross-examination. But yes. Just the state's case.

THE COURT: As I've indicated before, I guess it was 56 days. At this time, anyway, I'm going to set the trial for a total of 65 days. As we get closer, as we consider jury selection matters, I will look more carefully at the time. But at this point 65 days.

And what I'm going to do is what I did last time, which is announce a first day of trial. And then just indicate it would be my intention to use every day of the week possible to conduct the trial rather than having three-day trial weeks and then just extending the trial even longer in terms of the number of weeks involved, to try to use every day available for trial. Which would mean all days

of the week except Monday.

And then if there is a holiday that falls on a Monday, then the trial would have just three days that particular week. It would be Wednesday. But other than that time, it would be beginning on Tuesday.

Is that consistent with what the parties would want to do as well, just to have trial as many days as possible?

MS. POLK: Yes.

MS. DO: Yes for the defense, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Then I did have the request that trial start mid February. So I'm going to order that trial begin on Wednesday, February 16, 2011. February 16, 2011.

And trial time will be, we'll say,

10:00 a.m. on February 16, pretrial conference

8:30 a.m. I know we have other matters to

consider. And I'm going to confirm some hearing

times, in a minute, in November.

But I'm going to set that first day of trial and then just issue a minute entry that will have the specific days listed, as I've outlined.

Basically, four-day trial weeks when possible. And I will do that.

Also in the minute entry I'm going to set final motion deadline. And I think, Counsel, that's probably going to fall on about mid December.

Will there be any problem with that, Ms. Polk?

MS. POLK: Judge, I see a problem in that the state still has not received any disclosure or very much disclosure from the defense. The rules do give us up to 20 days preceding trial to file motions.

And then, of course, disclosure can occur up to 30 days with notice and 7 days otherwise under Rule 17.

So I'm -- it puts the state in a difficult position when we have received very little disclosure from the defense to be looking at a motion deadline. We've done our best already to anticipate what motions we can at this point. But we are operating without very much information. I would request from the Court that we operate under the standard 20 days deadline prior to trial for motions.

THE COURT: And, you know, the only reason I do accelerate the deadline is to make sure we have days to conduct evidentiary hearings, especially in cases that involve possible complex issues. That's the

only reason I do it.

I think the law does, of course, say unless otherwise ordered by the Court or something to that effect.

Ms. Polk, I understand what you're saying. And let me ask Ms. Do or Mr. Kelly about the disclosure situation. Because there can be motions brought very soon if that is an issue. But I don't know anything about that other than what Ms. Polk has now stated.

So if one of the defense attorneys would address that, please.

MS. DO: I can address that, Your Honor. We have provided the state with disclosure that is in our possession to date. We've identified the name of the only expert that we at this time intend use.

We've told Ms. Polk that we'd be happy to arrange for an interview once the state has complied with its disclosure under the rules -- their experts. Our expert has not also completed his analysis, so the report on that has not been done.

Other than that one expert witness, we have not identified any other witnesses that are not contained in the state's notice for which they have interviews and reports on. So at this point the

defense is in compliance with disclosure.

THE COURT: Is there a pleading filed to that effect, Ms. Do, that informs the state that these are the witnesses? Is this an assumption that's being made or --

MS. DO: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Go ahead.

б

1.3

2.4

MS. DO: I'm sorry, Your Honor. We did file both an initial statement and a supplemental disclosure stating exactly what I just indicated on the record.

THE COURT: Well, I want cases to be heard on the merits. And the rules are really to facilitate that, not frustrate that goal. I don't want people not following the rules thinking it's something that can be fixed later. Because sometimes it can't.

Ms. Polk, I understand what you're saying about the motion deadline. And certainly if it's a question of late disclosure on either side, that has to be dealt with. And I really hope we're not dealing with that in this matter at all.

But I'm going to consider motions in the interest of justice. And I just don't want to get up to the trial time and find out that -- you know -- there is going to be a day or two or more of

evidentiary hearing required and we're not able to proceed.

What I do then is maybe put the -- I'll put a motion deadline. I'll accelerate it somewhat. How about mid January, somewhere in there, and then just to make sure we cover things that might require some time? I'm going to do that.

But obviously if there are late disclosure issues -- and I hate to even say that. I don't want that to be happening. Those will be dealt with in accordance with the rules.

MS. POLK: And, Judge, I appreciate you recognizing that the parties may be in a position to be filing motions that are past -- in accordance with the motion deadline, in the interest of justice. And I didn't mean to suggest that there is late disclosure going on; because, again, Rule 15 itself allows disclosure up till trial. So it's not an issue of a party being late.

The issue is as the state becomes aware of an issue that does warrant the Court's attention prior to taking the case to the jury, that we are in a position that we can bring those motions to you and have them heard rather than say we missed the deadline, therefore you can't raise this issue.

THE COURT: Okay. I don't want to set some deadline that's artificially early; because, as I understand, as cases approach, people work more intensively on them and discover things they haven't seen before.

2.3

So I'm going to set a second motion deadline for mid January and only say that I'm going to follow the rules of criminal procedure as best I can and deal with issues that may arise. If something comes up and parties have both been diligent in preparing their cases, then I'm going to hear the motion and, again, look toward having the case decided on the merits as long as one side or the other is not unfairly prejudiced by a discovery decision or a disclosure decision.

So that's what I'll do. There will be a date somewhere mid January. It will be subject to what I've mentioned about having the case heard on the merits consistent with the rules.

I'm going to confirm at this time that we do have evidentiary hearings set on pending motions for November 9th, 10th and 16th, three full days, I believe. And only state what I have before, which is I am involved in another matter, and I just can't -- well, I'm confirming those dates at this

time. 1 It is another case that is some length. 2 3 And if there is some reason that those hearing dates would not be possible -- the 9th, 10th and 16th, 4 5 that is -- I will just let the parties know as soon as I can. But right now I am confirming them. 6 7 And, Ms. Do, if anybody else wants anything else heard, please file that in a written 8 motion. 10 That goes for the state too, Ms. Polk. 11 And we'll try to get everything scheduled 12 in November that's joined. 13 MS. DO: Yes, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 1.5 Ms. Polk, is there anything else that you would like to discuss from the state's perspective? 16 17 MS. POLK: No, Your Honor. Thank you. 18 THE COURT: Ms. Do? 19 MS. DO: No, Your Honor. Thank you. 20 THE COURT: All right. We will adjourn on this 21 matter. 22 Thank you. (The proceedings concluded.) 23 24 25

1	STATE OF ARIZONA )
2	) ss: REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI )
3	I, Mina G. Hunt, do hereby certify that I
4	am a Certified Reporter within the State of Arizona
5	and Certified Shorthand Reporter in California.
6	I further certify that these proceedings
7	were taken in shorthand by me at the time and place
8	herein set forth, and were thereafter reduced to
9	typewritten form, and that the foregoing constitutes
10	a true and correct transcript.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to, employed by, nor of counsel for any of the
13	parties or attorneys herein, nor otherwise
L 4	interested in the result of the within action.
L 5	In witness whereof, I have affixed my
L 6	signature this 7th day of May, 2011.
L 7	m. S. Hunt
L 8	MINA G. HUNT, AZ CR NO. 50619
L 9	CA CSR No. 8335
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	